arXiv:math/0203157v1 [math.QA] 15 Mar 2002

INDECOMPOSABLE RACKS OF ORDER p^2

MATÍAS GRAÑA

Abstract. We classify indecomposable racks of order p^2 (p a prime). There are $2p^2 - 2p - 2$ isomorphism classes, among which $2p^2 - 3p - 1$ correspond to quandles. In particular, we prove that an indecomposable quandle of order p^2 is affine. One of the results yielding this classification is the computation of the quandle nonabelian second cohomology group of an indecomposable quandle of prime order; which turns out to be trivial, as in the abelian case.

1. Introduction and Notation

Racks and quandles have been considered since 1982 by Joyce [J] and Matveev [M] as a tool to provide knot invariants. Since then, cohomology theories for them have been introduced independently at least with two main goals: to give new knot invariants and invariants of knotted surfaces on one hand (cf. [CJKLS]), and to classify Yetter–Drinfeld modules over groups on the other hand (cf. [G]). These theories coincide, which put racks, as a subject, between topology and Hopf algebras. We refer to the survey [O] for topological issues and to [AG] for Hopf algebraic ones.

Let us define the object of study:

Definition 1.1. A *rack* is a pair $(X, \triangleright)$ where X is a set and $\triangleright : X \times X \to X$ is a binary operation satisfying

$$\text{The functions } \phi_x : X \to X,\ \phi_x(y) = x \triangleright y \text{ are bijections for all } x \in X, \tag{1.2}$$

$$x \triangleright (y \triangleright z) = (x \triangleright y) \triangleright (x \triangleright z)\ \forall x, y, z \in X, \tag{1.3}$$

A rack is a *quandle* if it further verifies

$$x \triangleright x = x\ \forall x \in X. \tag{1.4}$$

The main model for racks are unions (possibly with repetitions) of conjugacy classes in a group, where the operation is the conjugation $x \triangleright y = xyx^{-1}$. When there are repetitions, $x \triangleright y$ is defined to be in the same copy as y. Notice that any of these racks is actually a quandle.

So far, the classification of (finite) racks turns out to be a natural problem, though it seems to be out of reach. Classifications which seem more tractable include that of racks of low order (which can be either done by computers), that of indecomposable racks, of faithful racks (see definitions below). A first approach in this direction was done in [EGS], where indecomposable set-theoretical solutions to the Yang-Baxter equation with a prime number of elements were classified. As a byproduct, it included the classification of indecomposable racks of prime order.

Here we classify indecomposable racks of order p^2 (p a prime); the main techniques are contained in [EGS] and [AG]. This is the natural place to put the

Acknowledgments. I thank N. Andruskiewitsch and P. Etingof for valuable comments. I also thank T. Ohtsuki who, by giving a list of indecomposable quandles of order 9, encouraged me to write this paper. Finally, I thank the warm hospitality of MIT and its productive atmosphere in which I wrote it.

We give now the definition of indecomposability, as well as the necessary definitions for reading the paper.

Definition 1.5. A rack $(X, \triangleright)$ is *decomposable* if it can be split properly into stable subracks, i.e., if $X = Y \sqcup Z$ (a disjoint union), neither of them empty, and $X \triangleright Y = Y$, $X \triangleright Z = Z$. A rack is *indecomposable* if it is not decomposable.

Let $\phi : X \to \mathbb{S}_X$ be the function defined in (1.2). We denote by G_X^0 the subgroup of $\mathbb{S}_X$ generated by the image of ϕ. This group operates on X by rack automorphisms; i.e, if $\sigma \in G_X^0$ then $\sigma(x \triangleright y) = \sigma(x) \triangleright \sigma(y)$. A rack is

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16W30, 57M27.

This work was supported by CONICET.

faithful if ϕ is injective. In this case X is naturally seen as a union of conjugacy classes inside G_X^0, and then it is a quandle. If X is a rack, we denote by $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ the group of rack automorphisms of X.

An *affine quandle* (also called *Alexander quandle*) is a pair (A, g), where A is an abelian group and $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(A)$. It is a quandle with the structure $x \triangleright y = (1-g)(x) + g(y)$. It is easy to see that such a quandle is indecomposable iff $1-g$ is surjective and it is faithful iff $1-g$ is injective. If A is cyclic, we denote g usually by $g(1)$. It is proved in [EGS] that an indecomposable quandle of prime order p is affine, isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}_p, q)$, where $q \in \mathbb{Z}_p^* - \{1\}$.

If X is a quandle and H is a group, the *non-abelian* 2*-cocycles with values in* H are the functions $\beta : X \times X \to H$ such that

$$\beta(x, y \triangleright z)\beta(y, z) = \beta(x \triangleright y, x \triangleright z)\beta(x, z) \quad \forall x, y, z \in X.$$

Two cocycles β and β' are *cohomologous* if there exists a function $\gamma : X \to H$ such that

$$\beta'(x, y) = \gamma(x \triangleright y)\beta(x, y)\gamma(y)^{-1}.$$

A non-abelian 2-cocycle is said to be a *quandle cocycle* if $\beta(x, x) = 1$ $\forall x \in X$. We denote by $H^2(X, H)$ the set of cohomology classes of 2-cocycles. We denote by $H_Q^2(X, H)$ the set of cohomology classes of quandle 2-cocycles.

If X is a rack, S is a set and $\beta : X \times X \to \mathbb{S}_S$ is a 2-cocycle, then there is a structure of rack in the product $X \times S$ given by

$$(x, s) \triangleright (y, t) = (x \triangleright y, \beta(x, y)(t)).$$

We denote by $X \times_\beta S$ the rack with this structure. Two cohomologous cocycles give rise to isomorphic structures. If X is a quandle then $X \times S$ is a quandle iff β is a quandle cocycle.

Let X be a rack, let $\iota : X \to X$ be defined by $x \triangleright \iota(x) = x$. Define $(X, \triangleright^\iota)$ as X with the structure $x \triangleright^\iota y = x \triangleright \iota(y)$. It can be seen that ι is bijective and $(X, \triangleright^\iota)$ is a quandle.

For a natural number n we denote its p-valuation by $v_p(n)$, i.e., $v_p(n) = r$ if $n = p^r q$ where q is coprime to p.

2. Racks of order p^2

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be an indecomposable rack of order p^2, p a prime number. Then either:*

(2.2) $X = \mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$, $(x_1, x_2) \triangleright (y_1, y_2) = ((1-\alpha)x_1 + \alpha y_1, (1-\beta)x_2 + \beta y_2)$ $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_p^* - \{1\}$
(2.3) $X = \mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$, $(x_1, x_2) \triangleright (y_1, y_2) = ((1-\alpha)x_1 + \alpha y_1, (1-\alpha)x_2 + \alpha y_2 + y_1 - x_1)$ $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p^* - \{1\}$
(2.4) $X = \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$, $x \triangleright y = (1-\alpha)x + \alpha y$ $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2} - \mathbb{F}_p$
(2.5) $X = \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$, $x \triangleright y = (1-\alpha)x + \alpha y$ $\alpha \not\equiv 0, 1 \mod p$
(2.6) $X = \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$, $x \triangleright y = y + 1$
(2.7) $X = \mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$, $(x_1, x_2) \triangleright (y_1, y_2) = ((1-\alpha)x_1 + \alpha y_1, y_2 + 1)$ $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p^* - \{1\}$

Two racks in different rows are not isomorphic. The non trivial isomorphisms inside each row are as follows: in (2.2)*, the rack associated to (α, β) is isomorphic to that associated to (β, α); in* (2.4) *the rack associated to α is isomorphic to that associated to $\sigma(\alpha)$, where σ is the non-trivial element of the Galois group* $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{p^2}|\mathbb{F}_p)$.

Proof. If X is faithful then X is a quandle and we prove in 2.10 below that it is affine. If X is not faithful then we consider its associated quandle $(X, \triangleright^\iota)$, which is neither faithful. Then either $\phi(X)$ has order 1 or p. In the first case $(X, \triangleright^\iota)$ is trivial, and then $(X, \triangleright)$ is given by a permutation $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{p^2}$: $x \triangleright y = \sigma(y)$. Since $(X, \triangleright)$ is indecomposable, σ must be a p^2-cycle. Then it is of the form (2.6). In the second case, we have that $(X, \triangleright^\iota)$ is a nonabelian extension of $\phi(X)$ by some set S of order p, i.e., $(X, \triangleright^\iota) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}_p, \alpha) \times S$. Since $\phi_x = \phi_{\iota x}$, we have that ι restricts to the fibers, i.e., $\iota_x = \iota|_{\{x\} \times S} : \{x\} \times S \to \{x\} \times S$. The structure in X can be recovered from $\triangleright^\iota$ as $(x, s) \triangleright (y, t) = (x, s) \triangleright^\iota (\iota^{-1}(y, t)) = ((1-\alpha)x + \alpha y, \iota_y^{-1}(t))$. Now, it is easy to see that (1.3) implies $\iota_{y \triangleright z}^{-1}\iota_z^{-1} = \iota_{x \triangleright z}^{-1}\iota_z^{-1}$ $\forall x, y, z$, and thus $\iota_x^{-1} = \iota_y^{-1}$ $\forall x, y$. We can call then $f = \iota_x^{-1}$, and we have $(x, s) \triangleright (y, t) = (x \triangleright y, f(t))$. But for this rack to be indecomposable f must be a p-cycle whence X is isomorphic to the rack in (2.7).

To see that (2.2), (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) cover all the affine cases, simply notice that there are two groups of order p^2: $\mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$. For $\mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$ the isomorphism $g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ can be either diagonalizable (class (2.2)), it can be given by a Jordan block (class (2.3)) or its minimal polynomial can be irreducible over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ (class (2.4)). For $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ any automorphism is given by an element in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^*$, and we get class (2.5). The conditions on α and β in the statement are equivalent for these quandles to be indecomposable.

Now, by [AG, Lemma 1.33] two indecomposable affine quandles (A, g) and (B, h) are isomorphic iff there is an isomorphism of the pairs (A, g) and (B, h); i.e, iff there exists an isomorphism $T : A \to B$ such that $Tg = hT$.

This proves that the classes have no intersection and shows also that the isomorphisms inside each class are those in the statement. $\square$

Before dealing with the rest of the proof, we derive two corollaries:

Corollary 2.8. *If X is an indecomposable rack of order p^2 then $v_p(|G_X|) = 2$ or 3.*

Proof. It is proved in [AG] that if (A, g) is an affine quandle then G_X^0 is the semidirect product $A \rtimes (g)$, where (g) is the subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(A)$ generated by g. Since the racks in classes (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5) are affine and the underlying group has order p^2, we must prove that $v_p(|(g)|) \le 1$, but this follows easily by inspection (actually, this result is part of the proof of 2.10, which in turn is part of the proof of 2.1).

For the rack of class (2.6) it is clear that G_X^0 is cyclic of order p^2, and for those of class (2.7) it can be seen that G_X^0 is isomorphic to the direct product between a cyclic group of order p and G_Y^0, where Y is the quotient $(x_1, x_2) \mapsto x_1$. Since $Y \simeq (\mathbb{Z}_p, \alpha)$, $v_p(|G_Y^0|) = 1$ and we are done. $\square$

Corollary 2.9. *The isomorphisms classes of indecomposable racks of order p^2 and their cardinalities are given in the following table:*

Type	*Class*	*#*
Affine quandle over $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$; diagonalizable isomorphism	(2.2)	$\frac{1}{2}(p^2 - 3p + 2)$
Affine quandle over $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$; Jordan block	(2.3)	$p - 2$
Affine quandle over $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$; irreducible polynomial (simple)	(2.4)	$\frac{1}{2}p(p-1)$
Affine quandle over $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$	(2.5)	$p^2 - 2p$
Rack which is not a quandle with $\lvert\phi(X)\rvert = 1$	(2.6)	1
Rack which is not a quandle with $\lvert\phi(X)\rvert = p$	(2.7)	$p - 2$

$\square$

We now finish the proof of 2.1.

Proposition 2.10. *Indecomposable quandles of order p^2 are affine. In particular, they are faithful.*

Proof. Since for $p = 2$ this is known, we can assume that $p \neq 2$ (the same tools here work for the case $p = 2$, though sometimes the formulas are easier if we have $\frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$).

For simple quandles the result is a consequence of [AG, Thm. 3.12]. Let X be an indecomposable non-simple quandle of order p^2. Then by [AG, Cor. 2.10] we have $X \simeq Y \times_\alpha S$, where Y is an indecomposable quandle of order p, S is a set of order p and α is a *dynamical 2-cocycle* (see [AG] for the definition, it is not needed to understand the proof, though). For $y \in Y$, let us denote by X_y the fibers $y \times S$. These are quandles and since Y is indecomposable they are all isomorphic, i.e., $X_y \simeq X_{y'}$ as quandles. We claim that either X_y is indecomposable or either it is trivial. To see this, take for each $y \in Y$ the decomposition $X_y = \sqcup_n X_y^n$, where X_y^n is the union of the orbits of X_y with cardinality n. Take $(y, s) \in X$; since $\phi_{(y,s)} : X_z \to X_{y \triangleright z}$ is a quandle isomorphism, it must send X_z^n to $X_{y \triangleright z}^n$. Thus, we have a decomposition of X as $X = \sqcup_n (\sqcup_y X_y^n)$. But X is indecomposable, hence all the orbits in X_y (any y) have the same cardinality. And since S has a prime cardinality, either there is one orbit of order p (and X_y is indecomposable) or there are p orbits of order 1 (and X_y is trivial), proving the claim.

We suppose first that X is faithful. By [EGS, Thm. A.2] the group G_X^0 is an extension of a cyclic group by a p-group. That is, $G_X^0 = N \rtimes_f C$, N a p-group, C cyclic and f a 2-cocycle. Let M be the order of C and let t be a generator of it. We have the structure $(a, t^i)(b, t^j) = (a\alpha^i(b) f(i, j), t^{i+j})$, where $f(i, j) = 1 \in N$ if $i + j < M$ and t acts by α on N. Now, the image of $\phi : X \to G_X^0$ is invariant, then it is included in $\{(a, t^i) \mid i = i_0\}$ for some i_0. Since this image must generate G_X^0, we can assume that $i_0 = 1$ (otherwise we re-name t to t^{i_0}). The structure of X is given then by

$$(a, t) \triangleright (b, t) = (a, t)(b, t)(a, t)^{-1} = (a, t)(b, t)(1, t^{-1})(a^{-1}, 1) = (a\alpha(ba^{-1}), t). \tag{2.11}$$

Furthermore, G_X^0 has trivial center; in particular if $g \in Z(N)$ then $\alpha(g) \neq g$, and, since any p group has a non-trivial center, $\alpha \neq \mathrm{id}$. Thus, to classify X we can seek what pairs N, α can arise and then look for the structure of the orbits for the action $a \triangleright b = a\alpha(ba^{-1})$. The strategy of the proof in [EGS] is the same as this one; however in that case X can be seen as an orbit in the symmetric group $\mathbb{S}_p$ (actually, the faithfulness condition is immediate), whence the order of N divides the power of p in $\mathbb{S}_p$, which is p; thus $N \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$. With a similar reasoning we can prove that the order of N here divides the power of p in $\mathbb{S}_{p^2}$, but this is p^{p+1}, which gives too much freedom to N. However, the group N is rather small: we claim that $|N| \le p^3$. To see this, if X_y is indecomposable we have by [EGS] that it is affine and $v_p(|\operatorname{Aut}(X_y)|) = v_p(|\mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_p^*|) = 1$. If X_y is not indecomposable, we have that it is trivial and then $v_p(|\operatorname{Aut}(X_y)|) = v_p(|\mathbb{S}_{X_y}|) = 1$. Since X is indecomposable, Y is indecomposable and again $v_p(|G_Y^0|) = 1$. By [AG, Lemma 1.13] we have a morphism of groups $G^0(\pi) : G_X^0 \to G_Y^0$ induced by the projection $\pi : X \to Y$. We look to its kernel $K = \ker G^0(\pi)$. By an abuse of notation, we denote the elements of Y by those of $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Let $w \in K$, we have $w(X_y) = X_y \ \forall y \in Y$. Then we can restrict w to X_0 and X_1, i.e., we have a homomorphism of groups $R : K \to \operatorname{Aut}(X_0) \times \operatorname{Aut}(X_1)$. But $X_0 \cup X_1$ generates X as a quandle, since 0 and 1 generate Y. Then R is injective, which proves that the order of K divides that of $\operatorname{Aut}(X_0) \times \operatorname{Aut}(X_1) = \operatorname{Aut}(S) \times \operatorname{Aut}(S)$. Then $v_p(|K|) \le 2v_p(|\operatorname{Aut}(S)|) = 2$, and $v_p(|G_X|) \le v_p(|K|) + v_p(|G_Y|) \le 3$, proving the claim.

If N is abelian we are done, since in this case (2.11) defines an affine structure. Thus, if N has order p or p^2, there is nothing else to prove. The classification of groups of order p^3 is well known (cf. [B]); there are 3 abelian groups and two groups which are not abelian. We must concentrate the attention on the later. We prove in sections 3 and 4 that for each of them we get affine quandles.

Suppose now that X is not faithful. The image of $\phi : X \to G_X^0$ must have order p, since if it was 1 then X would be trivial. Let $Y = \phi(X)$; as before it is an indecomposable quandle and then $Y \simeq (\mathbb{Z}_p, q)$, where $q \in \mathbb{Z}_p^* - \{1\}$. By [AG, Prop. 2.20], we have $X = Y \times_\beta S$, where S is a set of order p and $\beta : Y \times Y \to \mathbb{S}_S$ is a nonabelian quandle 2-cocycle. Now, we prove in 5.1 that this set is trivial; whence any of these quandles is isomorphic to the product $Y \times S$, S a trivial quandle; but this implies that $Y \times S$ is decomposable. □

3. THE GROUP $(\mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p) \rtimes C_p$

Let $G = (\mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p) \rtimes C_p$ be the group with t a generator of C_p acting on $\mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$ by $t(x,y)t^{-1} = (x, x+y)$. We denote the elements of G as $(x,y)t^z$ $x,y,z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Let $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$. Since $(0,1)$ generates the center of G, we must have $\alpha(0,1) = (0,q)$ for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$. Since α must act non trivially on the elements of the center, $q \neq 1$. We denote $\alpha((1,0)) = (a,j)t^b$, $\alpha(t) = (c,k)t^d$. We have $\alpha((x,y)) = ((a,j)t^b)^x(0,yq) = (xa, xj + ab\frac{x(x-1)}{2} + yq)t^{bx}$ and $\alpha(t^z) = ((c,k)t^d)^z = (zc, zk + cd\frac{z(z-1)}{2})t^{dz}$, whence

$$\alpha((x,y)t^z) = (xa + zc, bcxz + xj + zk + ab\frac{x(x-1)}{2} + cd\frac{z(z-1)}{2} + yq)t^{bx+dz}.$$

For α to be a group homomorphism we must have $\alpha(t)\alpha((1,0)) = \alpha((1,1))\alpha(t)$, while

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(t)\alpha((1,0)) &= (c,k)t^d(a,j)t^b = (c+a, k+j+da)t^{d+b}\\ \alpha((1,1))\alpha(t) &= (a, j+q)t^b(c,k)t^d = (c+a, k+j+q+bc)t^{b+d},\end{aligned}$$

whence necessarily $q = da - bc$.

As said, for $h \in G$, we consider the orbits $\mathcal{O}_h$ under the action $g \triangleright h = g\alpha(hg^{-1})$. We seek the conditions on α that give orbits of order p^2. Take $g = 1$; we get that $\{\alpha^n(h) \mid 0 \le n < N\} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_h$. Take $g = (0,y)$ and notice that $(0,y) \triangleright h = (0,y)\alpha(h)(0,-qy) = \alpha(h)(0,(1-q)y)$. Then $\{\alpha^n(h)(0,*)\} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_h$. Let us compute the orbit of $\zeta = (0,1)$. We have $\{(0,*)\} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_\zeta$. Acting by $(-x,0)t^{-z}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}(-x,0)t^{-z} \triangleright (0,*) &= (-x,0)t^{-z}\alpha((0,*)t^z(x,0)) = (-x,0)t^{-z}\alpha((x,*)t^z)\\ &= (-x,0)t^{-z}(xa + zc, *)t^{bx+dz} = ((a-1)x + cz, *)t^{bx+(d-1)z}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $A = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. We get that $\mathcal{O}_\zeta = G$ if $A - 1$ is invertible. Then, $A - 1$ is degenerate.

We suppose first that $A = 1$. We have $\mathcal{O}_\zeta$ of order p. Consider other orbits:

$$\begin{aligned}(-x,0)t^{-z} \triangleright (\lambda,*)t^\mu &= (-x,0)t^{-z}\alpha((\lambda,*)t^{\mu+z}(x,0)) = (-x,0)t^{-z}\alpha((\lambda+x,*)t^{\mu+z})\\ &= (-x,0)t^{-z}(\lambda+x,*)t^{\mu+z} = (\lambda,*)t^\mu,\end{aligned}$$

whence all orbits have order p. This is not interesting for us.

Suppose then that $A \neq 1$ and that the first row of $A-1$ is non-trivial. Then $A = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} r+1 & s \\ ur & us+1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ for some $r, s, u \in \mathbb{Z}^p$. We compute the orbit of $(\lambda, *)$:

$$\begin{aligned}(-x,0)t^{-z} \triangleright (\lambda,*)t^\mu &= (-x,0)t^{-z}\alpha((\lambda,*)t^{\mu+z}(x,0)) = (-x,0)t^{-z}\alpha((\lambda+x,*)t^{\mu+z})\\ &= (-x,0)t^{-z}((r+1)(\lambda+x) + s(\mu+z), *)t^{ur(\lambda+x)+(us+1)(\mu+z)}\\ &= ((\lambda r + xr + \mu s + zs) + \lambda, *)t^{u(\lambda r+xr+\mu s+zs)+\mu},\end{aligned}$$

whence the orbits are characterized as $\mathcal{O}^C := \{(\lambda,\sigma)t^\tau \mid \tau - u\lambda = C\}$, and this case is interesting for us.

If the first row of $A-1$ is trivial we have $A = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ r & s+1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and the orbits are

$$\begin{aligned}(-x,0)t^{-z} \triangleright (\lambda,*)t^{\mu} &= (-x,0)t^{-z}\alpha((\lambda,*)t^{\mu+z}(x,0)) = (-x,0)t^{-z}\alpha((\lambda+x,*)t^{\mu+z}) \\ &= (-x,0)t^{-z}((\lambda+x),*)t^{r(\lambda+x)+(s+1)(\mu+z)} = (\lambda,*)t^{r\lambda+rx+s\mu+sz+\mu},\end{aligned}$$

whence the orbits are characterized as $\mathcal{O}^C := \{(\lambda,\sigma)t^\tau \mid \lambda = C\}$, and this case is also interesting for us.

We return then to the case $A = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} r+1 & s \\ ur & us+1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Let $\Delta_x = \bar{x} - x$, $\Delta_y = \bar{y} - y$. Notice that $q = 1 + r + us$. We have in $\mathcal{O}^C$:

$$\begin{aligned}&((x,y)t^{C+ux}) \triangleright ((\bar{x},\bar{y})t^{C+u\bar{x}}) = ((x,y)t^{C+ux})\alpha((\bar{x},\bar{y})t^{u(\bar{x}-x)}(-x,-y)) \\ &\quad= ((x,y)t^{C+ux})\alpha((\bar{x}-x,\bar{y}-y-xu(\bar{x}-x))t^{u(\bar{x}-x)}) \\ &\quad= (x,y)t^{C+ux}((\bar{x}-x)(r+1)+su(\bar{x}-x), u^2rs(\bar{x}-x)^2+(\bar{x}-x)j+u(\bar{x}-x)k+(r+1)ur\frac{(\bar{x}-x)(\bar{x}-x-1)}{2} \\ &\qquad\qquad + s(us+1)\frac{u(\bar{x}-x)(u\bar{x}-ux-1)}{2}+(\bar{y}-y)q-xu(\bar{x}-x)q)\; t^{ur(\bar{x}-x)+(us+1)u(\bar{x}-x)} \\ &\quad= ((\bar{x}-x)(r+1)+su(\bar{x}-x)+x, u^2rs(\bar{x}-x)^2+(\bar{x}-x)j+u(\bar{x}-x)k+(r+1)ur\frac{(\bar{x}-x)(\bar{x}-x-1)}{2} \\ &\qquad\qquad + s(us+1)\frac{u(\bar{x}-x)(u\bar{x}-ux-1)}{2}+(\bar{y}-y)q-xu(\bar{x}-x)q \\ &\qquad\qquad + y+(C+ux)((\bar{x}-x)(r+1)+su(\bar{x}-x)))\; t^{ur(\bar{x}-x)+(us+1)u(\bar{x}-x)+C+ux} \\ &\quad= (q\Delta_x+x\;,\; \Delta_x^2(u^2rs+\frac{u}{2}(r+1)r+\frac{u^2}{2}s(us+1)) \\ &\qquad\qquad + \Delta_x(j+uk-\frac{u}{2}(r+1)r-\frac{u}{2}s(us+1)+Cq)+q\Delta_y+y)\; t^{uq\Delta_x+C+ux} \\ &\quad= (q\Delta_x+x\;,\; \Delta_x^2(\frac{u}{2}rq+\frac{u^2}{2}sq)+\Delta_x(j+uk-\frac{u}{2}(r+1)r-\frac{u}{2}s(us+1)+Cq)+q\Delta_y+y)\; t^{uq\Delta_x+C+ux}\end{aligned}$$

Now we use the following bijection: $f : \mathcal{O}^C \to \mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$, $f((x,y)t^{ux+C}) = (x, y - u\frac{x(x-1)}{2})$. We compute then the quandle structure of $\mathcal{O}^C$ transported to $\mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$ by f. We have

$$\begin{aligned}f(f^{-1}(x,y) \triangleright f^{-1}(\bar{x},\bar{y})) &= f((x,y+u\frac{x(x-1)}{2})t^{ux+C} \triangleright (\bar{x},\bar{y}+u\frac{\bar{x}(\bar{x}-1)}{2})t^{u\bar{x}+C}) \\ &= f((q\Delta_x+x\;,\; \Delta_x^2(\frac{u}{2}rq+\frac{u^2}{2}sq)+\Delta_x(j+uk-\frac{u}{2}(r+1)r-\frac{u}{2}s(us+1)+Cq)+q\Delta_y+y \\ &\qquad + \frac{u}{2}q(\bar{x}^2-\bar{x}-x^2+x)+\frac{u}{2}x(x-1))t^{uq\Delta_x+C+ux}) \\ &= (q\Delta_x+x\;,\; \Delta_x^2(\frac{u}{2}rq+\frac{u^2}{2}sq+\frac{u}{2}q)+\Delta_x(j+uk-\frac{u}{2}(r+1)r-\frac{u}{2}s(us+1)+Cq)+q\Delta_y+y \\ &\qquad + \frac{u}{2}q(2\bar{x}x+2x^2-\bar{x}+x)+\frac{u}{2}x(x-1)-\frac{u}{2}(q\Delta_x+x)(q\Delta_x+x-1)) \\ &= (q\Delta_x+x\;,\; \Delta_x^2(\frac{u}{2}q^2-\frac{u}{2}q^2)+q\Delta_y+y \\ &\qquad + \Delta_x(j+uk-\frac{u}{2}qr+\frac{u}{2}usr-\frac{u}{2}sq+\frac{u}{2}sr+Cq-\frac{u}{2}q+\frac{u}{2}q)+\frac{u}{2}q(2x\Delta_x-2x\Delta_x)) \\ &= (q\Delta_x+x\;,\; q\Delta_y+y+\Delta_x(j+uk-\frac{u}{2}qr+\frac{u}{2}usr-\frac{u}{2}sq+\frac{u}{2}sr+Cq))\end{aligned}$$

This means that $\mathcal{O}^C$ is isomorphic to the affine quandle $(\mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p, g)$, where

$$g(x,y) = (qx, qy+(j+uk-\frac{u}{2}qr+\frac{u}{2}usr-\frac{u}{2}sq+\frac{u}{2}sr+Cq)x).$$

We consider now the case $A = \left(\begin{smallmatrix}1 & 0\\ r & s+1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Notice that here $q = s+1$. Let $\Delta_z = \bar{z} - z$. We have for the orbit $\mathcal{O}^C$:

$$\begin{aligned}(C,y)t^z \triangleright (C,\bar{y})t^{\bar{z}} &= (C,y)t^z\alpha((C,\bar{y})t^{\bar{z}-z}(-C,\bar{y})) = (C,y)t^z\alpha((0,\Delta_y - \Delta_z C)t^{\Delta_z})\\ &= (C,y)t^z(0,\Delta_z k + q\Delta_y - Cq\Delta_z)t^{(s+1)\Delta_z}\\ &= (C, q\Delta_y + y + \Delta_z(k - Cq))t^{q\Delta_z + z},\end{aligned}$$

Then, taking the bijection $f : \mathcal{O}^C \to \mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$ given by $f((C,y)t^z) = (y,z)$ we get on $\mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$ the affine quandle $(\mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p, g)$ with g given by $g(y,z) = (qy + (k - Cq)z, qz)$.

4. THE GROUP $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \rtimes C_p$

Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \rtimes C_p$, where C_p is generated by t and the action is given by $tat^{-1} = a(p+1)$. Notice that $(at^b)^n = a(n + pb\frac{n(n-1)}{2})t^{bn}$. In particular (at^b) has order p iff $p|a$.

Take $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$, $\alpha(1) = at^b$, $\alpha(t) = ct^d$. Let's compute the conditions on a, b, c, d for α to be a homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(t)\alpha(1) &= (ct^d)(at^b) = (c + (1+pd)a)t^{d+b} = (c + a + pad)t^{d+b}\\ \alpha(1+p)\alpha(t) &= (a(1+p))t^b(ct^d) = (a + ap + c + bpc)t^{b+d}\end{aligned}$$

i.e., we must have $pad = pa + pbc \mod p^2$, or $a(d-1) = bc \mod p$. On the other hand, $\alpha(t)$ must have order p, whence $c = 0 \mod p$. This means that either $a = 0 \mod p$ or $d = 1 \mod p$. The first possibility is excluded since $\alpha(1)$ must have order p^2. Then, $d = 1$ and $\alpha(t) = (pc')t$.

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(nt^m) = (at^b)^n(pc't)^m &= (a(n + pb\frac{n(n-1)}{2}))t^{bn}(pc'm)t^m\\ &= (an + pab\frac{n(n-1)}{2} + pc'm(1+pbn))t^{bn+m}\\ &= (an + p(ab\frac{n(n-1)}{2} + c'm))t^{bn+m}\end{aligned}$$

We have, then

$$\begin{aligned}(nt^m) \triangleright (\bar{n}t^{\bar{m}}) &= (nt^m)\alpha(\bar{n}t^{\Delta_m}(-n)) = nt^m\alpha(\bar{n} - n(1+p\Delta_m)t^{\Delta_m}) = nt^m\alpha((\Delta_n - pn\Delta_m)t^{\Delta_m})\\ &= nt^m(a(\Delta_n - pn\Delta_m) + p(ab\frac{(\Delta_n - pn\Delta_m)(\Delta_n - pn\Delta_m - 1)}{2} + c'\Delta_m))t^{b\Delta_n + \Delta_m}\\ &= (n + (1+mp)(a\Delta_n + p(-an\Delta_m + ab\frac{\Delta_n(\Delta_n - 1)}{2} + c'\Delta_m)))t^{b\Delta_n + \bar{m}}\\ &= (n + a\Delta_n + p(am\Delta_n + (c' - an)\Delta_m + ab\frac{\Delta_n(\Delta_n - 1)}{2}))t^{b\Delta_n + \bar{m}}\\ &= (\bar{n} - (1-a)\Delta_n + p(c'\Delta_m + a(m\bar{n} - n\bar{m}) + ab\frac{\Delta_n(\Delta_n - 1)}{2}))t^{b\Delta_n + \bar{m}}\\ &= (\bar{n} - (1-a)\Delta_n + p(c'\Delta_m + a(-\Delta_m\bar{n} + \Delta_n\bar{m}) + ab\frac{\Delta_n(\Delta_n - 1)}{2}))t^{b\Delta_n + \bar{m}}.\end{aligned}$$

We see then that if $b \neq 0$ we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{\bar{n}t^{\bar{m}}} \subseteq \{xt^y \mid x = \frac{a-1}{b}(y - \bar{m}) + a\bar{n} \mod p\},$$

while if $b = 0$ we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{\bar{n}t^{\bar{m}}} \subseteq \{xt^y \mid y = \bar{m}\}.$$

Thus, all orbits have order $\le p^2$. We exclude now the cases in which the order is $< p^2$. We write $n = r + ps$ $(p \nmid r)$, and $D = \bar{n} - r$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}(nt^m) \triangleright (\bar{n}t^{\bar{m}}) &= (\bar{n} - (1-a)D + p((1-a)s + c'\Delta_m + a(-\Delta_m\bar{n} + D\bar{m}) + ab\frac{D(D-1)}{2}))t^{bD + \bar{m}}\\ &= (\bar{n} - (1-a)D + p((1-a)s + (c' - a\bar{n})\Delta_m + aD\bar{m} + ab\frac{D(D-1)}{2}))t^{bD + \bar{m}}\end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $a = 1 \mod p$, we must have $b \neq 0$ and $c' - \bar{n} = c' - a\bar{n} \neq 0 \mod p$.

Suppose first that $a \neq 1 \mod p$. Then we have to consider the orbits $\mathcal{O}^C = \{xt^{-\frac{b}{1-a}x+C}\}$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} xt^{\frac{-b}{1-a}x+C} \triangleright \bar{x}t^{\frac{-b}{1-a}\bar{x}+C} &= (\bar{x} - (1-a)\Delta_x + p(c'\frac{-b}{1-a}\Delta_x + a(\frac{b}{1-a}\Delta_x\bar{x} - \Delta_x\frac{b}{1-a}\bar{x} + \Delta_x C) \\ &\qquad + ab\frac{\Delta_x(\Delta_x - 1)}{2}))t^{b\Delta_x - \frac{b}{1-a}\bar{x}+C} \\ &= (\bar{x} - (1-a)\Delta_x + p((\frac{-bc'}{1-a} + aC - \frac{ab}{2})\Delta_x + \frac{ab}{2}\Delta_x^2))t^{b\Delta_x - \frac{b}{1-a}\bar{x}+C} \end{aligned}$$

Consider now the function

$$f : \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \to G, \quad f(x) = (x - p\frac{b}{1-a}\frac{x(x-1)}{2})t^{\frac{-b}{1-a}x+C}.$$

We translate the structure of $\mathcal{O}^C$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ via f. We have $f^{-1}(xt^{\frac{-b}{1-a}x+C}) = x + p\frac{b}{1-a}\frac{x(x-1)}{2}$.

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(f(x) \triangleright f(\bar{x})) &= f^{-1}((x - p\frac{b}{1-a}\frac{x(x-1)}{2})t^{\frac{-b}{1-a}x+C} \triangleright (\bar{x} - p\frac{b}{1-a}\frac{\bar{x}(\bar{x}-1)}{2})t^{\frac{-b}{1-a}\bar{x}+C}) \\ &= f^{-1}((\bar{x} - (1-a)\Delta_x + p(-\frac{b}{1-a}\frac{\bar{x}(\bar{x}-1)}{2} + \frac{b}{2}(\bar{x}^2 - \bar{x} - x^2 + x) \\ &\qquad + (\frac{-bc'}{1-a} + aC - \frac{ab}{2})\Delta_x + \frac{ab}{2}\Delta_x^2))t^{b\Delta_x - \frac{b}{1-a}\bar{x}+C}) \\ &= \bar{x} - (1-a)\Delta_x + p(-\frac{b}{1-a}\frac{\bar{x}(\bar{x}-1)}{2} + \frac{b}{2}(\bar{x}^2 - \bar{x} - x^2 + x) \\ &\qquad + \frac{b}{1-a}\frac{(\bar{x} - (1-a)\Delta_x)(\bar{x} - (1-a)\Delta_x - 1)}{2} + (\frac{-bc'}{1-a} + aC - \frac{ab}{2})\Delta_x + \frac{ab}{2}\Delta_x^2) \\ &= \bar{x} - (1-a)\Delta_x + p(\frac{b}{2}(\bar{x}^2 - \bar{x} - x^2 + x) - b\bar{x}\Delta_x + (\frac{-bc'}{1-a} + aC - \frac{ab}{2} + \frac{b}{2})\Delta_x + \frac{b}{2}\Delta_x^2) \\ &= \bar{x} - (1-a)\Delta_x + p\Delta_x(\frac{-bc'}{1-a} + aC - \frac{ab}{2}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mathcal{O}^C$ is affine, isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}, g)$, with $g(x) = (a + p(\frac{-bc'}{1-a} + aC - \frac{ab}{2}))x$.

Suppose now that $a = 1 \mod p$. Then we have the orbits $\mathcal{O}^C = \{(C + px)t^y\}$. We have

$$(C + px)t^y \triangleright (C + p\bar{x})t^{\bar{y}} = (C + p(x - (1-a)\Delta_x + c'\Delta_y - \Delta_y C))t^{\bar{y}},$$

but this shows that $\mathcal{O}^C$ is in this case decomposable as $\sqcup_y \mathcal{O}^C_y$, with $\mathcal{O}^C_y = \{(C + px)t^y\}$.

5. NONABELIAN COHOMOLOGY OF THE QUANDLE $(\mathbb{Z}_p, q)$

Let $(X, \triangleright) = (\mathbb{Z}_p, q)$ and let H be a group. Many of the computations here are useful to compute $H^2_Q(X, H)$ when $X = (\mathbb{F}_{p^t}, \omega)$.

Lemma 5.1. *$H^2_Q(X, H)$ is trivial.*

Proof. Let $\beta : X \times X \to H$ be a quandle nonabelian 2-cocycle. Let $\gamma : X \to H$ be defined by

$$\gamma(x) = \beta(x/(1-q), 0)^{-1}.$$

We deform β by γ, i.e., we take the cohomologous cocycle $\beta'(x, y) = \gamma(x \triangleright y)\beta(x, y)\gamma(y)^{-1}$. We then have

$$\beta'(x, 0) = \gamma((1-q)x)\beta(x, 0)\gamma(0)^{-1} = \beta(x, 0)^{-1}\beta(x, 0)\beta(0, 0) = 1.$$

Also $\beta'(x, x) = 1$ $\forall x \in X$. We then assume that β has these properties. The cocycle condition reads as

$$\beta((1-q)x + qy, (1-q)x + qz)\beta(x, z) = \beta(x, (1-q)y + qz)\beta(y, z).$$

Take $x = -qz/(1-q)$, and get $\beta(-qz + qy, 0)\beta(\frac{-qz}{1-q}, z) = \beta(\frac{-qz}{1-q}, (1-q)y + qz)\beta(y, z)$, i.e.,

$$\beta(\frac{-qz}{1-q}, z) = \beta(\frac{-qz}{1-q}, (1-q)y + qz)\beta(y, z).$$

Take now $y = -qz/(1-q)$, and get $\beta((1-q)x - \frac{q^2z}{1-q}, (1-q)x+qz)\beta(x,z) = \beta(x,0)\beta(\frac{-qz}{1-q}, z)$, i.e.,

$$\beta((1-q)x - \frac{q^2z}{1-q}, (1-q)x+qz)\beta(x,z) = \beta(\frac{-qz}{1-q}, z).$$

In particular, $\beta(\frac{-qz}{1-q}, (1-q)x+qz)\beta(x,z) = \beta((1-q)x - \frac{q^2z}{1-q}, (1-q)x+qz)\beta(x,z)$, and then

$$\beta(\frac{-qz}{1-q}, (1-q)x+qz) = \beta((1-q)x - \frac{q^2z}{1-q}, (1-q)x+qz).$$

Put now $t = (1-q)x+qz$ and get $\beta(\frac{-qz}{1-q}, t) = \beta(t - qz - \frac{q^2z}{1-q}, t) = \beta(t - \frac{qz}{1-q}, t)$. Put $s = -qz/(1-q)$ and get

$$\beta(s,t) = \beta(t+s,t) \quad \forall s,t \in X.$$

If $t \neq 0$, then t generates $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and then $\beta(s,t) = \beta(t,t) = 1$ $\forall s$. Since for $t = 0$ we have $\beta(s,t) = 1$, we are done. ☐

References

[AG] N. Andruskiewitsch & M. Graña, *From racks to pointed Hopf algebras*, `math.QA/0202084`

[B] W. Burnside, *Theory of groups of finite order*, 2nd edition, Dover Pub., New York, 1955.

[CJKLS] J. S. Carter, D. Jelsovsky, S. Kamada, L. Langford and M. Saito, *State-sum invariants of knotted curves and surfaces from quandle cohomology*, Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (1999), 146–156 (electronic). Also in `math.GT/9903135`.

[EG] P. Etingof, M. Graña, *On rack cohomology*, `math.QA/0201290`

[EGS] P. Etingof, R. Guralnik & A. Soloviev, *Indecomposable set-theoretical solutions to the Quantum Yang–Baxter Equation on a set with prime number of elements*, J. Algebra **242** (2001), 709-719.

[G] M. Graña, *On Nichols algebras of low dimension*, in "New Trends in Hopf Algebra Theory"; Contemp. Math. **267** (2000), pp. 111–136.

[J] D. Joyce, *A Classifying Invariant of Knots, The Knot Quandle*, J. Pure Appl. Alg. **23** (1982), 37–65.

[M] S. V. Matveev, *Distributive groupoids in knot theory* Mat. Sbornik (N.S.) **119** (161) (1982), no. 1, pp. 78–88, 160.

[Mo] T. Mochizuki, *Some calculations of cohomology groups of Alexander quandles*, preprint available at `http://math01.sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp/ takuro`

[O] T. Ohtsuki *Problems on invariants in knots and* 3*-manifolds*, preprint available at `http://www.is.titech.ac.jp/ tomotada/proj01/problem.ps`

Matías Graña
MIT, Mathematics Department
77 Mass. Ave.
02139 Cambridge, MA - USA
E-mail address: `matiasg@math.mit.edu`